arXiv:1604.06007v2 [hep-th] 28 Apr 2016

Supersymmetric Janus solutions in four-dimensional $N = 3$ gauged supergravity

Parinya Karndumri

String Theory and Supergravity Group, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, 254 Phayathai Road, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

E-mail: parinya.ka@hotmail.com

Abstract

We construct supersymmetric Janus solutions using four-dimensional $N = 3$ gauged supergravity with $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ gauge group. The $N = 3$ supersymmetric AdS_4 vacuum with unbroken $SO(3) \times SU(3)$, identified with the compactification of eleven-dimensional supergravity on $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$, provides a gravity dual of supersymmetric $N = 3$ Chern-Simons-Matter theory in three dimensions with $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry. The Janus solutions accordingly describe supersymmetric conformal interfaces within this Chern-Simons-Matter theory via the AdS/CFT holography. We find two classes of Janus solutions preserving respectively $(2, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$ supersymmetry on the $(1 + 1)$-dimensional conformal defects. The solution with $(2, 1)$ supersymmetry preserves $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2) \subset SO(3) \times SU(3)$ symmetry while the $(0, 1)$ supersymmetric solution is invariant under a larger $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry.

1 Introduction

Conformal field theories (CFTs) with a conformal defect are important in describing some properties of condensed matter and statistical physics systems [1, 2]. The AdS/CFT correspondence [3] offers holographic duals to these theories via Janus solutions [4]. According to the usual relations in the AdS/CFT correspondence, these solutions would be useful in studying strongly coupled CFT with conformal interfaces. Along this line, holographic duals of conformal defects within $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory, one of the primary examples of the AdS/CFT duality, have been extensively studied in a number of previous works, see for example [5, 6, 7, 8].

In general, Janus solutions can be obtained from AdS-sliced domain walls. A special case of flat domain walls with the AdS-slice replaced by the flat Minkowski space describes the usual holographic RG flows. Supersymmetric Janus solutions in five dimensions, dual to $N = 4$ SYM with conformal interfaces, have been obtained both from five-dimensional gauged supergravity and directly from ten-dimensional type IIB theory [9, 10, 11, 12]. Janus solutions dual to defect conformal field theories (dCFTs) or interface conformal field theories (ICFTs) in two dimensions have also been studied in [13, 14, 15, 16, 17] including the multi-face Janus solution recently constructed in [18].

In four dimensions, a class of supersymmetric Janus solutions from eleven-dimensional M-theory have been classified in [19]. A number of supersymmetric Janus solutions in the maximal $N = 8$ gauged supergravity with various symmetries have been studied in [20]. The solution with $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ symmetry can be uplifted to eleven dimensions and has been shown to be different from the solutions classified in [19]. All solutions considered in [20] have been obtained by truncating the $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ scalar manifold of the $N = 8$ supergravity to a single complex scalar living in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$ coset.

In this paper, we will give another example of supersymmetric Janus solutions within $N = 3$ gauged supergravity coupled to eight vector multiplets. This results in $N = 3$ gauged supergravity with $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ gauge group which is expected to arise from a dimensional reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity on a tri-sasakian manifold N^{010} [21, 22, 23]. Possible three-dimensional $N = 3$ SCFT dual to the supersymmetric AdS_4 critical point with $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ symmetry has been given in [24, 25]. Other AdS_4 critical points and holographic RG flows between AdS_4 critical points including flows to AdS_2 geometries have been extensively studied in [26].

We will consider supersymmetric Janus solutions in this $N = 3$ gauged supergravity with $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ and $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetries. As we will see, the solutions preserve respectively $N = (1, 0)$ and $N = (2, 1)$ supersymmetries on the $(1+1)$-dimensional interfaces. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence, these solutions should be dual to some conformal defects, breaking $N = 3$ supersymmetry and $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ global symmetry, in the Chern-

Simons-Matter theory dual to the $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$ background.

The paper is organized as follow. In section 2, we review the matter-coupled $N = 3$ gauged supergravity in order to set up the notations and collect all the needed formulae. The analysis of BPS equations relevant to finding supersymmetric Janus solutions will also be given. Supersymmetric Janus solutions with $N = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry and $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry is constructed in section 3 while the $N = (2, 1)$ solution with $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry will be given in section 4. Conclusions and comments on the results are given in section 5. In the appendix, we give a brief comments on the non-existence of supersymmetric Janus solution with $SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry and the possibility of other solutions within non-compact gauge groups.

2 $N = 3$ gauged supergravity

Before giving the solutions, we review the $N = 3$ gauged supergravity in four dimensions and collect all relevant formulae which will be used in later sections. The reader is referred to [27, 28, 29] for the full construction. Apart from the mostly plus metric signature $(-+++)$, all the notations are the same as in [27].

In $N = 3$ supersymmetry, the supergravity multiplet consists of the graviton e^a_μ, three gravitini $\psi_{\mu A}$, three vectors $A_{\mu A}$ and one spinor field χ. Indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 3$ and $a, b, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 3$ are respectively space-time and tangent space indices. The $SU(3)_R$ R-symmetry triplets are labeled by indices $A, B, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$. Spinor indices will not be shown explicitly.

The only matter fields in $N = 3$ supersymmetry are vector multiplets containing one vector field A_μ, four spinors (λ_A, λ) which are a triplet and a singlet of $SU(3)_R$, and three complex scalars z_A. Each vector multipet is labeled by indices $i, j, \ldots = 1, \ldots, n$. Spinor fields are subject to the following chirality projections

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mu A} &= \gamma_5 \psi_{\mu A}, \qquad \chi = \gamma_5 \chi, \qquad \lambda_A = \gamma_5 \lambda_A, \qquad \lambda = -\gamma_5 \lambda, \\ \psi_\mu^A &= -\gamma_5 \psi_\mu^A, \qquad \lambda^A = -\gamma_5 \lambda^A \,. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The $N = 3$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets consists of $3n$ complex, $6n$ real, scalar fields ${z_A}^i$ parametrized by the coset space $SU(3, n)/SU(3) \times SU(n) \times U(1)$. The scalars can accordingly be parametrized by the coset representative $L(z)_\Lambda{}^\Sigma$ which transforms under the global $G = SU(3, n)$ and the local $H = SU(3) \times SU(n) \times U(1)$ symmetries by left and right multiplications, respectively.

Indices $\Lambda, \Sigma, \ldots = (A, i) = 1, \ldots, n + 3$ denote fundamental representation of $SU(3, n)$. The coset representative can also be decomposed into $L_\Lambda{}^\Sigma = (L_\Lambda{}^A, L_\Lambda{}^i)$. The inverse of $L_\Lambda{}^\Sigma$ is given in term of $L_\Lambda{}^\Sigma$ via the relation

$$(L^{-1})_\Lambda{}^\Sigma = J_{\Lambda\Pi} J^{\Sigma\Delta} (L_\Delta{}^\Pi)^* \tag{2}$$

where $J_{\Lambda\Sigma}$ is an $SU(3,n)$ invariant tensor defined by

$$J_{\Lambda\Sigma} = J^{\Lambda\Sigma} = (\delta_{AB}, -\delta_{ij}). \tag{3}$$

In the presence of n vector multiplets, $(n+3)$-dimensional subgroups of $SO(3,n) \subset SU(3,n)$ can be gauged provided that its structure constants defined by the gauge algebra

$$[T_\Lambda, T_\Sigma] = f_{\Lambda\Sigma}{}^{\Gamma} T_\Gamma \tag{4}$$

satisfy the consistency condition

$$f_{\Lambda\Sigma\Gamma} = f_{\Lambda\Sigma}{}^{\Gamma'} J_{\Gamma'\Gamma} = f_{[\Lambda\Sigma\Gamma]}\,. \tag{5}$$

A number of compact and non-compact gauge groups of this "electric" type have been studied in [30]. In the present work, we mainly focus on the case of $n = 8$ vector multiplets and compact $SO(3)\times SU(3)$ gauge group with the corresponding structure constants given by

$$f_{\Lambda\Sigma}{}^{\Gamma} = (g_1 \epsilon_{ABC}, g_2 f_{i+3,j+3,k+3}), \qquad i,j = 1,\ldots,8\,. \tag{6}$$

In the above equation, f_{ijk} are the usual $SU(3)$ structure constants. In what follow, we are interested only in supersymmetric Janus solutions with only the metric and scalars non-vanishing. All the other fields will accordingly be omitted from the following discussion.

The bosonic Lagrangian of the $N = 3$ gauged supergravity is given by

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4}R - \frac{1}{2}P^{iA}_{\mu}P^{\mu}_{Ai} - V\,. \tag{7}$$

The vielbein $P_i{}^A$ of the $SU(3,n)/SU(3)\times SU(n)\times U(1)$ coset are given by the (A,i)-components of the Mourer-Cartan one-form

$$\Omega_\Lambda{}^\Pi = (L^{-1})_\Lambda{}^\Sigma dL_\Sigma{}^\Pi \tag{8}$$

with $\Omega_i{}^A = (\Omega_A{}^i)^*$. The scalar potential is given in terms of the "boosted structure constants"

$$C^\Lambda{}_{\Pi\Gamma} = L_{\Lambda'}{}^{\Lambda}(L^{-1})_\Pi{}^{\Pi'}(L^{-1})_\Gamma{}^{\Gamma'} f_{\Pi'\Gamma'}{}^{\Lambda'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad C_\Lambda{}^{\Pi\Gamma} = J_{\Lambda\Lambda'}J^{\Pi\Pi'}J^{\Gamma\Gamma'}(C^{\Lambda'}{}_{\Pi'\Gamma'})^* \tag{9}$$

by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} V &= -2S_{AC}S^{CM} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{U}_A\mathcal{U}^A + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{N}_{iA}\mathcal{N}^{iA} + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{M}^{iB}{}_A\mathcal{M}_{iB}{}^A \\ &= \frac{1}{8}|C_{iA}{}^B|^2 + \frac{1}{8}|C_i{}^{PQ}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\left(|C_A{}^{PQ}|^2 - |C_P|^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $C_P = -C_{PM}{}^M$. All tensors appearing in the above equations are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{AB} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{BPQ}C_A{}^{PQ} + \epsilon_{ABC}C_M{}^{MC}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left(C_A{}^{PQ}\epsilon_{BPQ} + C_B{}^{PQ}\epsilon_{APQ}\right), \\ \mathcal{U}^A &= -\frac{1}{4}C_M{}^{MA}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{iA} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{APQ}C_i{}^{PQ}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{iA}{}^B &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta^B_A C_{iM}{}^M - 2C_{iA}{}^B). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The supersymmetry transformations of fermionic fields are given by

$$\delta\psi_{\mu A} = D_\mu\epsilon_A + S_{AB}\gamma_\mu\epsilon^B, \tag{12}$$

$$\delta\chi = \mathcal{U}^A\epsilon_A, \tag{13}$$

$$\delta\lambda_i = -P_{i\mu}{}^A\gamma^\mu\epsilon_A + \mathcal{N}_{iA}\epsilon^A, \tag{14}$$

$$\delta\lambda_{iA} = -P_{i\mu}{}^B\gamma^\mu\epsilon_{ABC}\epsilon^C + \mathcal{M}_{iA}{}^B\epsilon_B\,. \tag{15}$$

The covariant derivative on the supersymmetry parameter ϵ_A is defined by

$$D\epsilon_A = d\epsilon_A + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\epsilon_A + Q_A{}^B\epsilon_B + \frac{1}{2}nQ\epsilon_A \tag{16}$$

where the $SU(3)\times SU(8)\times U(1)$ composite connections $(Q_A{}^B, Q_i{}^j, Q)$ can be obtained from the (A,B) and (i,j) components of the Mourer-Cartan one-form via

$$\Omega_A{}^B = Q_A{}^B - n\delta^B_A Q, \qquad \Omega_i{}^j = Q_i{}^j + 3\delta^j_i Q\,. \tag{17}$$

Note that $(Q_A{}^B, Q_i{}^j)$ satisfy $Q_A{}^A = Q_i{}^i = 0$.

We can now construct the BPS equations for finding supersymmetric Janus solutions. The metric ansatz takes the form of AdS_3-sliced domain wall

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(r)}\left(e^{\frac{2\xi}{\ell}}dx^2_{1,1} + d\xi^2\right) + dr^2\,. \tag{18}$$

In the limit $\ell\to\infty$, this metric becomes a flat domain wall used in the study of holographic RG flows. The non-vanishing spin connections of the above metric can be computed to be

$$\omega^{\hat\xi}{}_{\hat r} = A'e^{\hat\xi}, \qquad \omega^{\hat\mu}{}_{\hat\xi} = \frac{1}{\ell}e^{-A}e^{\hat\mu}, \qquad \omega^{\hat\mu}{}_{\hat r} = A'e^{\hat\mu} \tag{19}$$

where $'$ denotes the r-derivative. From now on, indices μ,ν will take values $0,1$, and hatted indices are the tangent space, or flat, ones. In the above expressions, the vielbein components are given by

$$e^{\hat\mu} = e^{A+\frac{\xi}{\ell}}dx^\mu, \qquad e^{\hat\xi} = e^A d\xi, \qquad e^{\hat r} = dr\,. \tag{20}$$

All of the scalar fields only depend on r. Therefore, only the r-component of P^{iA} will be non-vanishing. The variations $\delta\lambda_i$ and $\delta\lambda_{iA}$ then require a γ^r projection. Following [20], this projection takes the form of

$$\gamma^{\hat{r}}\epsilon_A = e^{i\Lambda}\epsilon^A \tag{21}$$

where Λ is a real phase. Using the Majorana representation with all gamma matrices real and $\gamma_5 = i\gamma_{\hat{0}}\gamma_{\hat{1}}\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\gamma_{\hat{r}}$ purely imaginary, we have the relation $\epsilon^A = (\epsilon_A)^*$. This implies

$$\gamma^{\hat{r}}\epsilon^A = e^{-i\Lambda}\epsilon_A\,. \tag{22}$$

For the gravitino variations, we will denote the eigenvalues of S_{AB} matrix corresponding to the unbroken supersymmetry by $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}$. $\mathcal{W}$ will play the role of the "superpotential". With this and the above spin connections, the variation $\delta\psi_{A\hat{\mu}} = 0$ gives

$$A'\gamma_{\hat{r}}\epsilon_A + \frac{1}{\ell}e^{-A}\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\epsilon_A - \mathcal{W}\epsilon^A = 0\,. \tag{23}$$

As in [20], taking the complex conjugate and iterating the above equation lead to

$$A'^2 = W^2 - \frac{1}{\ell^2}e^{-2A} \tag{24}$$

where the "real superpotential" is defined by $W = |\mathcal{W}|$. We now take the $\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}$ projection to be

$$\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\epsilon_A = i\kappa e^{i\Lambda}\epsilon^A \tag{25}$$

with $\kappa^2 = 1$.

The equation coming from $\delta\psi_{A\hat{\xi}} = 0$ gives

$$e^{-A}\partial_\xi\epsilon_A + \frac{1}{2}A'\gamma_{\hat{\xi}\hat{r}}\epsilon_A - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\epsilon^A = 0\,. \tag{26}$$

Using equation (23), we find

$$\partial_\xi\epsilon_A = \frac{1}{2\ell}\epsilon_A \tag{27}$$

which implies $\epsilon_A = e^{\frac{\xi}{2\ell}}\tilde{\epsilon}_A$ for ξ-independent $\tilde{\epsilon}_A$. Following [20], we will denote the Killing spinor by

$$\epsilon_A = e^{\frac{A}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2\ell}+i\frac{\Lambda}{2}}\varepsilon_A^{(0)} \tag{28}$$

where the constant spinors $\varepsilon_A^{(0)}$ satisfy

$$\gamma_{\hat{r}}\varepsilon_A^{(0)} = \varepsilon^{(0)A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\varepsilon_A^{(0)} = i\kappa\varepsilon^{(0)A}\,. \tag{29}$$

In order to determine $e^{i\Lambda}$, we come back to equation (23) and take the real and imaginary parts

$$A' = \frac{1}{2}W(e^{i\omega-i\Lambda} + e^{-i\omega+i\Lambda}), \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{\ell}e^{-A} = \frac{i}{2}W(e^{i\omega-i\Lambda} - e^{-i\omega+i\Lambda}) \tag{31}$$

where we have written $\mathcal{W} = We^{i\omega}$. There are two possibilities namely real and complex $\mathcal{W}$. For real $\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{W} = W$ or $\omega = 0$, we find

$$e^{i\Lambda} = \frac{A'}{W} + \frac{i\kappa}{\ell}\frac{e^{-A}}{W}\,. \tag{32}$$

For complex $\mathcal{W}$, we simply have

$$e^{i\Lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{A' + \frac{i\kappa}{\ell}e^{-A}}\,. \tag{33}$$

Both cases occur in the solutions considered in subsequent sections.

3 Janus solution with $SO(2)\times SU(2)\times SO(2)$ symmetry

We begin with the solution with $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry. There are two singlet scalars invariant under this symmetry. They correspond to $SU(3,8)$ non-compact generators

$$\hat{Y}_1 = e_{3,11} + e_{11,3} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{Y}_2 = -ie_{3,11} + ie_{11,3} \tag{34}$$

where we have used the matrices $(e_{\Lambda\Sigma})_{\Gamma\Delta} = \delta_{\Lambda\Gamma}\delta_{\Sigma\Delta}$. These are non-compact generators of $SU(1,1) \subset SU(3,8)$ with the compact $U(1)$ subgroup generated by

$$J = 2i(e_{33} - e_{11,11}). \tag{35}$$

We can parametrize this $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ coset in the form of

$$L = e^{\varphi J}e^{\phi\hat{Y}_1}\,. \tag{36}$$

The scalar potential can be computed to be

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}g_1^2[1 + 2\cosh(2\phi)] \tag{37}$$

which admits only a critical point at $\phi = 0$ as already studied in [26].

We now consider the BPS equations. With the above coset representative and the $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ structure constants given previously, we find the S_{AB} matrix

$$S_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag}(\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2) \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1 &= g_1\cosh(2\varphi)\cosh(\phi), \\ \mathcal{W}_2 &= g_1 e^{2i\varphi}\cosh\phi\,. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

As pointed out in the RG flows studied of [26], $\mathcal{W}_2$ gives rise to supersymmetric solutions. Using $W = |\mathcal{W}_2|$, we can write the scalar potential as

$$V = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\sinh^2(2\phi)}\frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi}\frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi}\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} - \frac{3}{2}W^2\,. \tag{40}$$

In order to solve all equations from the gravitini variations, we set $\epsilon_{1,2} = 0$, so the unbroken supersymmetry is associated with ϵ_3. The preserved supersymmetry for the full solution will be $(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$ depending on the values of $\kappa = 1, -1$.

By computing P_r^{iA} and using the projectors as described in the previous section, we obtain two different complex equations from $\delta\lambda_i = 0$ and $\delta\lambda_{iA} = 0$. It turns out that the latter only involves $\epsilon_{1,2}$ and hence identically vanishes. Solving for ϕ' and φ', the BPS equations from $\delta\lambda_i = 0$, involving only ϵ_3, read

$$\varphi' = \frac{\kappa}{4\ell}e^{-A}\mathrm{sech}^2\phi, \tag{41}$$

$$\phi' = -\tanh\phi A'\,. \tag{42}$$

Together with the equation

$$A'^2 - g_1^2\cosh^2\phi + \frac{e^{-2A}}{\ell^2} = 0, \tag{43}$$

we can solve for the supersymmetric solution. It can be verified that these equations also solve the second-order field equations. In the RG flow limit $\ell \to \infty$, we find

$$\varphi' = 0, \qquad \phi' = \mp g_1\sinh\phi, \qquad A' = \pm g_1\cosh\phi \tag{44}$$

which are the flow equations studied in [26].

It is remarkable that equations (41), (42) and (43) turn out to be the same as those considered in [20]. The solution can be obtained similarly. By solving equation (42), we find

$$A = C_1 - \ln\sinh\phi \tag{45}$$

where C_1 is an integration constant.

Inserting the solution for A into equation (43), we find an equation for ϕ

$$\phi'^2 = g_1^2\sinh^2\phi - \frac{e^{-2C_1}}{\ell^2}\frac{\sinh^4\phi}{\cosh^2\phi}\,. \tag{46}$$

Follow [20], we define a parameter

$$a = g_1\ell e^{C_1}\,. \tag{47}$$

Accordingly, the solution for ϕ can be found to be

$$\sinh\phi = \zeta\frac{a}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}\frac{1}{\cosh[g_1(r-r_0)]} \tag{48}$$

for $a < 1$, and

$$\sinh\phi = \zeta \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2-1}} \frac{1}{\sinh[g_1(r-r_0)]} \tag{49}$$

for $a > 1$. In these solutions, the parameter $\zeta = \pm 1$ can be chosen to be $+1$ if we choose $\phi > 0$. Furthermore, the integration constant r_0 can be set to zero.

Using the solutions for A and ϕ in equation (41), we obtain the solution for φ

$$\begin{aligned} \tan(\varphi-\varphi_0) &= -\kappa\zeta\sqrt{1-a^2}\sinh[g_1(r-r_0)], \qquad \text{for} \qquad a<1, & (50)\\ \tan(\varphi-\varphi_0) &= -\kappa\zeta\sqrt{a^2-1}\cosh[g_1(r-r_0)], \qquad \text{for} \qquad a>1\,. & (51) \end{aligned}$$

The metric warp factor can also be expressed as a function of r as follow

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= \zeta\frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{g_1\ell}\cosh[g_1(r-r_0)], \qquad \text{for} \qquad a<1, & (52)\\ e^A &= \zeta\frac{\sqrt{a^2-1}}{g_1\ell}\sinh[g_1(r-r_0)], \qquad \text{for} \qquad a>1\,. & (53) \end{aligned}$$

For further holographic study, it is useful to give an asymptotic expansion of the solution near the AdS_4 critical point.

Similar to the discussion in [20], the solution with $a < 1$ is smooth for $-\infty < r < \infty$ and approaches the $SO(3)\times SU(3)$ AdS_4 critical point for $r \to \pm\infty$. Using the new radial coordinate

$$r = \mp\frac{1}{g_1}\ln\left[\frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2a}\rho\right], \tag{54}$$

we find that the limit $r \to \pm\infty$ corresponds to $\rho \to 0$. In this limit, the solution behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi \sim \rho + \frac{1}{12a^2}(a^2-3)\rho^3 + \ldots,\\ &\varphi \sim \varphi_0 \mp \kappa\frac{\pi}{2} \pm \kappa\frac{\rho}{a} \mp \kappa\frac{1+3a^2}{12a^3}\rho^3 + \ldots,\\ &A \sim -\ln\rho + \ln\frac{a}{g_1\ell} + \frac{(1-a^2)}{4a^2}\rho^2 + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we have set $\zeta = 1$ and $r_0 = 0$. The leading terms simply give

$$A \sim \pm g_1 r \tag{56}$$

and

$$\phi \sim e^{\mp\frac{r}{L}}, \qquad \varphi \sim e^{\mp\frac{r}{L}} \tag{57}$$

where the AdS_4 radius is given by $L = \frac{1}{g_1}$. This indicates that ϕ and φ are dual to relevant operators of dimensions $\Delta = 1, 2$ in the dual $N = 3$ SCFT arising

from the $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$ compactification.

As pointed out in [31], the values of $\Delta = 1, 2$ can lead to two different quantizations. Holographically, the two quantizations imply different identifications of the operator deformations and vacuum expectation values (vevs). In $N = 8$ ABJM theory, it has been shown in [32] that the correct holographic dictionary requires the "standard quantization" for scalars and the "alternative quantization" for pseudoscalars. In the standard quantization, non-normalizable modes are identified with deformations while normalizable modes describe vevs. The identification is reversed in the alternative quantization. Consequently, the operators dual to scalars and pseudoscalars are given respectively by bosonic and fermionic bilinears of dimensions one and two. It would be interesting to determine whether there exists such a unique dictionary in the case of $N = 3$ SCFTs considered here. This would make the holographic interpretation of supergravity solutions more transparent.

For $a > 1$, we still choose $\zeta = 1$ and $r_0 = 0$ but define the new radial coordinate by

$$r = \mp \frac{1}{g_1} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{2a} \rho \right] . \tag{58}$$

The behavior of ϕ and φ near $r \to \pm\infty$ or $\rho \sim 0$ can be determined as in the previous case. The result is the same as in (55). Therefore, the solution approaches the AdS_4 similar to the $a < 1$ case.

However, the scalar ϕ and the metric function A diverse at a finite value of $r = 0$. There are two possibilities for $r > 0$ and $r < 0$. For $r > 0$, we choose $\zeta = 1$, and for $r < 0$ we choose $\zeta = -1$ in order to make e^A positive. We then find the expansion near $|r| \approx 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\sim \mp \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1} g_1 |r|}{2a} \right] + \ldots , \\ \varphi &\sim \mp \kappa \tan^{-1} \sqrt{a^2 - 1} + \ldots , \\ e^A &\sim \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{\ell} |r| + \ldots . \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

It can be readily seen that ϕ and the metric become singular at $r = 0$.

From the scalar potential (37), we see that $V(\phi \to \pm\infty) \to -\infty$. At least, by the criterion of [33], the singularity is acceptable. It would be interesting to investigate this singularity in eleven-dimensional context. The four-dimensional metric near this singularity is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{a^2 - 1}{\ell^2} r^2 ds^2(AdS_3) + dr^2 . \tag{60}$$

The Janus solution for $a > 1$ should accordingly correspond to an interface between $N = 3$ SCFT and a non-conformal field theory or a Coulomb phase. As pointed out in [34], this solution might also be useful in describing boundary

conformal field theories (BCFTs). In [34], it has been argued that the strength of the deformation determines whether the solution corresponds to an ICFT or a BCFT. In the present solution, the deformation is determined by the parameter a. The fact that the value of a larger than a critical value gives the solution dual to a BCFT is in agreement with the discussion in [34].

4 Janus solution with $SO(2)\times SO(2)\times SO(2)$ symmetry

We now come to a more complicated solution with smaller residual symmetry. There are four scalars invariant under $SO(2)\times SO(2)\times SO(2)$ symmetry. They are given by the following $SU(3,8)$ non-compact generators

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y}_1 &= e_{3,11}+e_{11,3}, \qquad \tilde{Y}_2 = -ie_{3,11}+ie_{11,3},\\ \tilde{Y}_3 &= e_{36}+e_{63}, \qquad \tilde{Y}_4 = -ie_{36}+ie_{63}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

These generators are also non-compact generators of $SU(2,1)\subset SU(3,8)$. The corresponding scalars are then coordinates of a submanifold $SU(2,1)/U(2)$. The resulting $SU(2,1)/SU(2)\times U(1)$ coset can be parametrized as in [26]

$$L = e^{\varphi_1 J_1}e^{\varphi_2 J_2}e^{\varphi_3 J_3}e^{\Phi\tilde{Y}_1} \tag{62}$$

where the generators J_i form the $SU(2)$ subgroup. Explicitly, they are given by

$$J_1 = -ie_{66}+ie_{11,11}, \qquad J_2 = e_{6,11}-e_{11,6}, \qquad J_3 = -ie_{6,11}-ie_{11,6}\,. \tag{63}$$

The scalar potential takes a simple form

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}g_1^2[1+2\cosh(2\Phi)]. \tag{64}$$

In this case, although the potential turns out to be the same as in the previous case, the S_{AB} matrix is given by

$$S_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}g_1\cosh\Phi\delta_{AB}\,. \tag{65}$$

We see that S_{AB} has a three-fold degenerate real eigenvalue giving rise to a real superpotential.

Under the $SO(2)_R\subset SO(3)_R$ identified with the first $SO(2)$ in $SO(2)\times SO(2)\times SO(2)$, the supersymmetry transformation parameters ϵ_A transform as $\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{1}$. The singlet corresponds to ϵ_3. Similar to the previous cases, $\delta\lambda_i=0$ equations only involve ϵ_3 while $\delta\lambda_{iA}=0$ only have non-vanishing components along $\epsilon_{1,2}$.

As pointed out in [20], different representations of ϵ_A under the residual

symmetry can be assigned different $e^{i\Lambda}$ phases. In the following, we will choose the γ_r projections to be

$$\gamma_{\hat{r}}\epsilon_{1,2} = e^{i\Lambda}\epsilon^{1,2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma_{\hat{r}}\epsilon_3 = e^{-i\Lambda}\epsilon^3\,. \tag{66}$$

For $\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}$ projection, we choose

$$\gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\epsilon_{1,2} = i\kappa e^{i\Lambda}\epsilon^{1,2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma_{\hat{\xi}}\epsilon_3 = -i\kappa e^{-i\Lambda}\epsilon^3\,. \tag{67}$$

This implies the opposite chirality of $\epsilon^{1,2}$ and ϵ^3 on the $(1+1)$-dimensional interface. With these projectors and the expression for $e^{i\Lambda}$ given in (32), $\delta\lambda_i = 0$ and $\delta\lambda_{iA} = 0$ variations reduce to the same set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 4e^{2\Phi}\left[2g_1\sinh\Phi + ie^{i\Lambda}\sin(2\varphi_3)\sinh(2\Phi)\varphi_2' + 2e^{i\Lambda}\varphi_3'\right] & \\ +2i(e^{4\Phi}-1)e^{i\Lambda}\cos(2\varphi_2)\cos(2\varphi_3)\varphi_1' &= 0, \qquad (68)\\ 8e^{2\Phi}\left[\sinh\Phi\left[g_1 + ie^{i\Lambda}\cosh\Phi\sin(2\varphi_3)\varphi_2'\right] + e^{i\Lambda}\Phi'\right] & \\ +2i(e^{4\Phi}-1)e^{i\Lambda}\cos(2\varphi_2)\cos(2\varphi_3)\varphi_1' &= 0\,. \qquad (69) \end{aligned}$$

Note that choosing different projectors as shown above means that equations from $\delta\psi_{A\mu}$ variations involving $\epsilon_{1,2}$ and ϵ_3 are complex conjugate of each other. This is possible by the fact that $\mathcal{W}$ is real. Since in this case $e^{i\Lambda}$ and $e^{-i\Lambda}$ differ effectively by a sign change in κ as can be seen from equation (32), the solution to these equations then preserves $N = (2,1)$ supersymmetry on the interface.

Solving all of these equations results in the following BPS equations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1' &= \frac{2\kappa}{\ell}\frac{\cos\varphi_3\sec\varphi_2 e^{-A}}{\cosh^2\Phi}, \qquad \varphi_2' = \frac{2\kappa}{\ell}\frac{\sin\varphi_3 e^{-A}}{\cosh^2\Phi}, \\ \varphi_3' &= -\frac{2\kappa}{\ell}\frac{\cos\varphi_3\tan\varphi_2 e^{-A}}{\cosh^2\Phi}, \qquad \Phi' = -A'\tanh\Phi, \\ 0 &= A'^2 + \frac{e^{-2A}}{\ell^2} - g_1^2\cosh^2\Phi\,. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

These equations can readily be verified to satisfy the corresponding field equations. It should also be noted that in the limit $\ell \to \infty$, we recover the BPS equations for holographic RG flows studied in [26]

$$\varphi_1' = \varphi_2' = \varphi_3' = 0, \qquad \Phi' = \mp g_1\sinh\Phi, \qquad A' = \pm g_1\cosh\Phi\,. \tag{71}$$

From the above equations, Φ' and A' equations form a close set since they do not couple to all of the φ_i. These two equations can be solved by the same solutions as in the previous case. We will not give their explicit form here to avoid repetitions.

With Φ and A solutions as given in the previous section, we can solve for

φ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, as follow

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos\varphi_3 &= \zeta C_2 \sec\varphi_2, && (72)\\
\tan(\varphi_1 - \tilde{\varphi}_0) &= \zeta \frac{2C_2 \sin\varphi_2}{\sqrt{2 - 4C_2^2 + 2\cos(2\varphi_2)}}, && (73)\\
\sinh[g_1(r - r_0)] &= -\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1-a^2}} \tan\left[\frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1}\frac{\tan(\varphi_1 - \tilde{\varphi}_0)}{C_2}\right],\ a < 1, && (74)\\
\cosh[g_1(r - r_0)] &= -\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{a^2-1}} \tan\left[\frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1}\frac{\tan(\varphi_1 - \tilde{\varphi}_0)}{C_2}\right],\ a > 1. && (75)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = 0$, we recover the solutions of previous section provided that the identifications $C_2 = \zeta$ and $\varphi_1 = 2\varphi$ are made. Therefore, turning on φ_2 and φ_3 further breaks the $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry to $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$, but enhanced supersymmetry from $N = (1, 0)$ to $N = (2, 1)$.

We now briefly look at asymptotic behaviors of the solution. In this case, the expansion is more complicated. Therefore, we will only give the asymptotic behavior for $r \to \infty$. The expansion for $r \to -\infty$ can be obtained similarly. By defining the coordinate ρ as in (54) and setting $\zeta = 1$ and $r_0 = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 \sim\ & \tilde{\varphi}_0 - \tan^{-1}\left[C_2 \tan\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3}\right] + \frac{4\kappa C_2}{a\left[1 + C_2^2 + (1 - C_2^2)\cos\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}\right]}\rho\\
& + \frac{8C_2(C_2^2 - 1)\sin\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}}{a^2\left[1 + C_2^2 + (1 - C_2^2)\cos\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}\right]}\rho^2 + \ldots,\\
\varphi_2 \sim\ & \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\rho + \alpha_2\rho^2 + \ldots,\\
\varphi_3 \sim\ & \beta_0 + \beta_1\rho + \beta_2\rho^2 + \ldots
\end{aligned} \qquad (76)$$

with the expansions for Φ and A given by the ϕ and A expansions in (55). α_i and β_i are constants depending on C_2 and a. Explicitly, α_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_0 &= -\frac{\sqrt{1 - C_2^2}}{12C_2^3}\left[5C_2^2 - 2 + (2 + 7C_2^2)\cos\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}\right]\sec^2\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3}\tan\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3},\\
\alpha_1 &= \kappa\frac{\sqrt{1 - C_2^2}}{2aC_2^3}\sec^4\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3}\left[C_2^2 - 2 + (2 + C_2^2)\cos\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}\right],\\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{1 - C_2^2}}{a^2C_2^3}\left[6 + C_2^2 - (2 + 3C_2^2)\cos\frac{\kappa\pi}{6a^3}\right]\sec^4\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3}\tan\frac{\kappa\pi}{12a^3}\,.
\end{aligned} \qquad (77)$$

The explicit form of β_i is much more complicated, so we refrain from giving them here. It should be noted that the above expansion reduces to the $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ solution for $C_2 = \zeta = \pm 1$ with $\varphi_1 \sim 2\varphi$ and $\varphi_2 \sim \varphi_3 \sim 0$.

The solution for $a > 1$ is singular as in the previous case. The asymptotic

expansion near $r \sim 0$ can be obtained analogously. Near the singularity $r \sim 0$, the metric and Φ are singular while all of the φ_i remain finite. In this case, the singularity also satisfies the criterion of [33]. We then expect the solution to be dual to a BCFT.

5 Conclusions

We have found supersymmetric Janus solutions within $N = 3$ gauged supergravity for $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ gauge group. The solutions have $SO(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(2)$ and $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetries with unbroken $N = (1, 0)$ and $(2, 1)$ supersymmetry on the $(1 + 1)$-dimensional interface. The solutions provide a holographic dual of conformal interfaces in the $N = 3$ Chern-Simons-Matter theory with $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry in three dimensions and might be interesting in applications to condensed matter physics systems along the line of [35]. Similar to the maximal $N = 8$ theory, Janus solutions require non-vanishing pseudoscalars as opposed to the RG flow solutions. In the case of $SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry in which the BPS equations require constant pseudoscalars, there does not exist supersymmetric Janus solutions. This will be shown in the appendix.

It would be very interesting to identifies precisely the interface SCFTs dual to the gravity solutions given here. Since the solutions given here are all analytic, they could be useful in a holographic study of the correlation functions in the dual $N = 3$ dCFT by the method introduced in [36]. It would be interesting to further study the solutions that become singular in the IR, at a finite value of the AdS_4 radial coordinate and give a precise interpretation in the dual field theory. According to [34], these solutions should be interpreted as gravity dual of boundary conformal field theories (BCFTs). The analytic solutions should also be of particular interest in this context as well as in computations of the entanglement entropy.

Another interesting direction is obviously to look for possible uplift of these solutions to eleven dimensions and identify the corresponding M-brane configurations. The full embedding of $N = 3$ gauged supergravity considered in this paper to eleven dimensions is currently not known. This is due to the lack of a complete reduction ansatz on N^{010} although an embedding keeping only $SU(3)$ singlets has been constructed in [37]. Since the solutions found in the present paper involve scalars that transform non-trivially under $SU(3)$, a more general truncation is needed.

On the other hand, the full embedding might be possibly first in $N = 4$ gauged supergravity. As has been pointed out in some previous works, see for example [25, 37, 38], the $N = 3$ AdS_4 vacuum can be realized as a supersymmetry breaking AdS_4 vacuum of $N = 4$ gauged supergravity. It would be desirable to explicitly construct this truncation and study the eleven-dimensional uplift of the Janus solutions found here and the holographic RG flows in [26] similar to the

solutions of the maximal gauged supergravity recently studied in [39].

Acknowledgement
This work is supported by Chulalongkorn University through Ratchadapisek Sompoch Endowment Fund under grant GF-58-08-23-01 (Sci-Super II). The author would like to thank Henning Samtleben, Nicolay Bobev and Davide Cassani for useful correspondences.

A Supersymmetric solutions with $SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry

In this appendix, we consider supersymmetric solutions with $SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry and look for possible Janus solutions. It turns out that, in this case, there is no supersymmetric Janus solution. We now present some details of the analysis.

It has been found in [26] that apart from the $SO(3) \times SU(3)$ symmetric AdS_4 critical point, there is another $N = 3$ critical point with $SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry. The unbroken $SO(3)$ is a diagonal subgroup of $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ with the second factor being $SO(3) \sim SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$. The $U(1)$ is an explicit $U(1)$ factor in $SU(2) \times U(1)$. The uplift of this critical point to eleven dimensions is presently unknown.

Under $SO(3)_{\rm diag} \times U(1)$, there are two singlet scalars corresponding to the non-compact generators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_1 &= e_{14} + e_{41} + e_{25} + e_{52} + e_{36} + e_{63}, \\ \hat{Y}_2 &= -ie_{14} + ie_{41} - ie_{25} + ie_{52} - ie_{36} + ie_{63}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

The coset representative can be parametrized by

$$L = e^{\varphi J} e^{\Phi \hat{Y}_1} e^{-\varphi J}\,. \tag{79}$$

where

$$J = \mathrm{diag}(2i\delta^{AB}, -2i\delta^{i+3,j+3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3\,. \tag{80}$$

The J generator, corresponding to $U(1) \sim SO(2)$, together with $\hat{Y}_1$ and $\hat{Y}_2$ form a non-compact group $SU(1,1) \subset SU(3,8)$. The $SO(3) \times U(1)$ critical point is given by

$$\varphi = 0, \qquad \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 - g_1}{g_2 + g_1} \right], \qquad V_0 = -\frac{3 g_1^2 g_2^2}{2(g_2^2 - g_1^2)}\,. \tag{81}$$

We now consider the BPS equations for supersymmetric solutions. The scalar matrix S_{AB} takes the form of

$$S_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W} \delta_{AB} \tag{82}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} &= \frac{1}{8}e^{-3\Phi}\left[\left[(1+e^{2\Phi})^3 g_1 + (e^{2\Phi}-1)^3 g_2\right]\cos(2\varphi)\right. \\ &\quad \left. -i\left[(1+e^{2\Phi})^3 g_1 - (e^{2\Phi}-1)^3 g_2\right]\sin(2\varphi)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

The variations $\delta\lambda_i$ and $\delta\lambda_{iA}$ give the following equations

$$\Phi' - \frac{1}{3}e^{-i\Lambda}\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial \Phi} \pm i e^{-2\Phi}(e^{4\Phi}-1)\varphi' = 0 \tag{84}$$

which implies $\varphi' = 0$ or $\varphi = \varphi_0$. We will set the constant $\varphi_0 = 0$ in order to recover the two AdS_4 critical points at $r \to \pm\infty$. Using the expression for $e^{i\Lambda}$ in term of $\mathcal{W}$, equation (33), we find that the above equation requires

$$\Phi = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left[\frac{g_2 - g_1}{g_2 + g_1}\right]. \tag{85}$$

This means the scalars are fixed at the critical points.

The metric function $A(r)$, for $\Phi = 0$, can be determined from the gravitini variations

$$A'^2 + \frac{e^{-A}}{\ell^2} - g_1^2 = 0 \tag{86}$$

whose solutions are

$$A = \ln\left[\frac{e^{-g_1 r}(e^{g_1 r} + g_1^2\ell^2)^2}{4 g_1^4 \ell^4}\right] \tag{87}$$

$$\text{or} \qquad A = \ln\left[\frac{e^{-g_1 r}(e^{g_1 r} g_1^2\ell^2 + 1)^2}{4 g_1^4 \ell^4}\right]. \tag{88}$$

For $\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left[\frac{g_2-g_1}{g_2+g_1}\right]$, we find

$$e^A \ell^2 (g_2^2 - g_1^2) A'^2 = g_1^2(1 + e^A g_2^2 \ell^2) - g_2^2 \,. \tag{89}$$

With a suitable integration constant, the solutions can be written as

$$e^A = \frac{e^{-\frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_2^2-g_1^2}}r}}{16 g_1^2 g_2^2 \ell^4}\left[e^{\frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_2^2-g_1^2}}r} + 4\ell^2(g_2^2 - g_1^2)\right]^2 \tag{90}$$

$$\text{or} \qquad e^A = \frac{e^{-\frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_2^2-g_1^2}}r}}{16 g_1^2 g_2^2 \ell^4}\left[4\ell^2(g_2^2 - g_1^2) e^{\frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_2^2-g_1^2}}r} + 1\right]^2. \tag{91}$$

These solutions are nothing but AdS_4 backgrounds in the AdS_3-sliced parametrization. Therefore, there are no supersymmetric Janus solutions connecting the $SO(3)\times U(1)$ critical point identified in [26].

In addition, we have also looked for Janus solutions in the case of non-compact gauge groups. It has been pointed out in [30] that among the "electric" gaugings, $SO(3,1)$ and $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ gauge groups admit supersymmetric AdS_4 vacua. In the case of $SO(3,1)$, there is no supersymmetric Janus solution with $SO(3)$ symmetry. For $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ gauge group, there are no scalars which are singlets under $SO(3) \subset SL(3,\mathbb{R})$. Another possibility would be to consider solutions with $SO(2) \subset SO(3)$ symmetry.

In both gauge groups, there are six $SO(2)$ singlets parametrized by the coset manifold $SU(1,1)/U(1) \times SU(1,1)/U(1) \times SU(1,1)/U(1)$ which is a submanifold of $SU(3,3)/SU(3)\times U(3)$ and $SU(3,5)/SU(3)\times U(5)$, respectively. The analysis turns out to be highly complicated. In addition, there does not seem to be any simple truncation to fewer scalars that can give rise to supersymmetric Janus solutions. It would be useful to carry out the full analysis and definitely determine whether $N = 3$ gauged supergravity with $SO(3,1)$ and $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ gauge groups admits supersymmetric Janus solutions.

References

[1] J. L. Cardy, "Conformal Invariance And Surface Critical Behavior", Nucl. Phys. **B240** (1984) 514.

[2] D. M. McAvity and H. Osborn, "Conformal field theories near a boundary in general dimensions", Nucl. Phys. **B455** (1995) 522, arXiv: cond-mat/9505127.

[3] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity", Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231-252, arXiv: hep-th/9711200.

[4] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, "A Dilatonic Deformation of AdS_5 and its Field Theory Dual", JHEP 05 (2003) **072**, arXiv: hep-th/0304129.

[5] A. B. Clark, D. Z. Freedman, A. Karch and M. Schnabl, "Dual of the Janus solution: An interface conformal field theory", Phys. Rev. **D71** (2005) 066003, arXiv: hep-th/0407073.

[6] E. D' Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, "Interface Yang-Mills, supersymmetry, and Janus", Nucl. Phys. **B753** (2006) 16, arXiv: hep-th/0603013.

[7] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, "Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, And The thetaAngle in N=4 Super Yang-Mills Theory", JHEP 1006 (2010) 097, arXiv: 0804.2907.

[8] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman and H. Ooguri, "Holography and Defect Conformal Field Theories", Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 025009, arXiv: hep-th/0111135.

[9] A. Clark and A. Karch, "Super Janus", JHEP 10 (2005) **094**, arXiv: hep-th/0506265.

[10] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, "Ten-dimensional supersymmetric Janus solutions", Nucl. Phys. **B757** (2006) 79, arXiv: hep-th/0603012.

[11] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, "Exact half-BPS Type IIB interface solutions. I. Local solution and supersymmetric Janus", JHEP 06 (2007) **021**, arXiv: 0705.0022.

[12] M. W. Suh, "Supersymmetric Janus solutions in five and ten dimensions", JHEP 09 (2011) **064**, arXiv: 1107.2796.

[13] C. Bachas, J. de Boer, R. Dijkgraaf, and H. Ooguri, "Permeable conformal walls and holography", JHEP 06 (2002) **027**, arXiv:hep-th/0111210.

[14] C. Bachas and M. Petropoulos, "Anti-de-Sitter D-branes", JHEP 02 (2001) **025**, arXiv:hep-th/0012234.

[15] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, "Three dimensional Janus and time-dependent black holes", JHEP 02 (2007) **068**, arXiv: hep-th/0701108.

[16] M. Chiodaroli, M. Gutperle and D. Krym, "Half-BPS Solutions locally asymptotic to $AdS_3 \times S^3$ and interface conformal field theories", JHEP 02 (2010) **066**, arXiv: 0910.0466.

[17] M. Chiodaroli, E. D'Hoker, Y, Guo and M. Gutperle, "Exact half-BPS string-junction solutions in six-dimensional supergravity", JHEP 12 (2011) **086**, arXiv: 1107.1722.

[18] D. Bak and H. Min, "Multi-faced Black Janus and Entanglement", JHEP 03 (2014) **046**, arXiv: 1311.5259.

[19] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle and D. Krym, "Janus solutions in M-theory", JHEP 06 (2009) **018**, arXiv: 0904.3313.

[20] N. Bobev, K. Pilchand N. P. Warner, "Supersymmetric Janus Solutions in Four Dimensions", JHEP 1406 (2014) 058, arXiv: 1311.4883.

[21] L. Castellani and L.J. Romans, "$N = 3$ and $N = 1$ Supersymmetry in a New Class of Solutions for $d = 11$ Supergravity", Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 683-701.

[22] P. Termonia, "The complete $N = 3$ Kaluza Klein spectrum of 11D supergravity on $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$", Nucl. Phys. **B577** (2000) 341-389, arXiv: hep-th/9909137.

[23] P. Fre, L. Gualtieri and P. Termonia, "The structure of $N = 3$ multiplets in AdS_4 and the complete $Osp(3|4) \times SU(3)$ spectrum of M-theory on $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$", Phys. Lett. **B471** (1999) 27-38, arXiv: hep-th/9909188.

[24] M. Billo, D. Fabbri, P. Fre, P. Merlatti and A. Zaffaroni, "Rings of short $N = 3$ superfields in three dimensions and M-theory on $AdS_4 \times N^{0,1,0}$", Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 1269-1290, arXiv: hep-th/0005219.

[25] M. Billo, D. Fabbri, P. Fre, P. Merlatti and A. Zaffaroni, "Shadow multiplets in AdS_4/CFT_3 and the super-Higgs mechanism: hints of new shadow supergravities", Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 139-194, arXiv: hep-th/0005220.

[26] P. Karndumri, "Holographic RG flows in $N = 3$ Chern-Simons-Matter theory from $N = 3$ 4D gauged supergravity", arXiv: 1601.05703.

[27] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, R. D'Auria, P. Fre and E. Maina, "The complete $N = 3$ matter coupled supergravity", Nucl. Phys. **B268** (1986) 317-348.

[28] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and E. Maina, "σ-model, duality transformations and scalar potentials in extended supergravities", Phys. Lett. **B161** (1985) 91-95.

[29] L. Castellani, R. D' Auria and P. Fre, "Supergravity and Superstring theory: a geometric perspective", World Scientific, Singapore 1990.

[30] P. Karndumri and K. Upathambhakul, "Gaugings of four-dimensional $N = 3$ supergravity and AdS_4/CFT_3 holography", arXiv: 1602.02254.

[31] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, "AdS/CFT correspondence and symmetry breaking", Nucl. Phys. **B556** (1999) 89-114, arXiv: hep-th/9905104.

[32] N. Bobev, A. Kundu, K. Pilch and N. P. Warner, "Minimal holographic superconductors from maximal supergravity", JHEP 03 (2012) **064**, arXiv: 1110.3454.

[33] S. S. Gubser, "Curvature singularities: the good, the bad and the naked", Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000) 679-745, arXiv: hep-th/0002160.

[34] M. Gutperle and J. Samani, "Holographic RG-flows and Boundary CFTs", Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 106007, arXiv: 1207.7325.

[35] M. Fujita, "M5-brane Defect and QHE in $AdS_4 \times N(1,1)/N = 3$ SCFT", Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 105016, arXiv: 1011.0154.

[36] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, "Correlation Functions in Holographic RG Flows", JHEP 10 (2004) **075**, arXiv: hep-th/0407071.

[37] D. Cassani and P. Koerber, "Tri-Sasakian consistent reduction", JHEP 01 (2012) **086**, arXiv: 1110.5327.

[38] J. P. Gauntlett and O. Varela, "Universal Kaluza-Klein reductions of type IIB to $N = 4$ supergravity in five dimensions", JHEP 06 (2010) **081**, arXiv: 1003.5642.

[39] K. Pilch, A. Tyukov and N. P. Warner, "$N = 2$ Supersymmetric Janus Solutions and Flows: From Gauged Supergravity to M Theory", arXiv: 1510.08090.